UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,197	07/02/2003	Chie Ohba	AA537C	4114
	7590 12/20/200 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY DIVI	STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 412 6250 CENTER HILL AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	OH 45224		3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u></u> .		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/612,1	97	OHBA, CHIE			
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Jacquelin	e F. Stephens	3761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN usions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (5 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. O) days, a reply within the state attutory period will apply and worwill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tire tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 October 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,10-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) I the correction is require	be held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/612,197 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/3/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., dimensions that provide for a majority of the device to fit within the wearer's interlabial space) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The arguments that the invention of Lassen can not fit within a wearer's interlabial space is completely relative to the size of the napkin and the size of the user. The fact that Lassen teaches a larger pad that resides outside of the interlabial space is a matter of function rather than structure. The claimed subject matter is relative to the dimensions of the pad. The examiner maintains that Lassen teaches an interlabial device similar to the claimed invention. One having ordinary skill in the art would be able through routine experimentation to determine the appropriate size of the interlabial pad in accordance with the intended use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3761

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lassen USPN 4631062.

As to claims 1-4, 10, and 11, Lassen discloses an interlabial absorbent device having a liquid permeable topsheet 80 on a body facing side and a liquid impermeable backsheet 70 on a garment facing side, with an interior region 64 and periphery region (58,60,62), with an absorbent 74 disposed between the garment and body facing sides in a layered arrangement. Lassen does not disclose the specific dimensions of the pad. Lassen does teach the relative dimensions in a functional sense are at least long enough to extend over the desire areas in use (col. 11, lines 28-35). One would be motivated by the teaching of Lassen to provide a pad with the claimed dimensions for allowing the pad to fit the body contours of a wearer with the need for external attachment to a panty in order to minimize the irritability and friction, which Lassen teaches is desired (col.I 6, lines 9-16). In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, "where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device". Application/Control Number: 10/612,197 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

The interlabial device has a concave portion on the garment facing side and a convex portion on the body contacting side, the concave and convex portions are in face-to-face relationship (Figures 2 and 6).

As to claim 5, see Figure 6- the con-shaped convex/concave portions.

As to claim 12, see Figures 2-4.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacqueline F Stephens Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

December 11, 2007